

# 7 December 2011 [24-11]

# **APPLICATION – ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT**

A1068	Date Received: 18 October 2011  Date Due for completion of Administrative Assessment: 9 November 2011  Date Administrative Assessment Completed: 8 November 2011			
Applicant: Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd			Potentially Affected Standard in the Code:	
Title: Hydrogen Peroxide as a Processing Aid for Fermented Dairy Ingredients & Products  Brief Description of Application:  To permit the use of hydrogen peroxide as a processing aid to maintain a stable pH in the manufacture of fermented dairy ingredients and products.			Std 1.3.3	
Procedure: General	manufacture of feri	Estimated total hours: Up to 350 hours  Reasons why: The application seeks permission for a new use of a processing aid that currently has limited permissions.	Estimated start work: January 2011	

## **DECISION**

Application accepted	
Date: 8 November 2011	

Has the Applicant claimed Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No√

Is the Application for a High Level Health Claim?

Yes No√

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related high level health claim.

Yes No√

#### Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application is subject to ECCB?

Yes No√

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No√ (at this stage) Not known

#### Application Handbook Requirements

Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the Application Handbook apply to this Application:

3.1, 3.3.2

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guideline/s?

Yes No ✓

3.1.1B – hard copies of the application <u>were not</u> capable of being laid flat when opened (loose sheets only were provided). This is not viewed as a reason to not accept the Application.

Is the checklist completed?

Yes**√** No

What information is not provided?

N/A

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes**√** No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No√

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes**√** No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:					

## **CONSULTATION & ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME**

## Consultation Strategy:

Proposed length of public consultation period:

General Procedure (6 weeks)

Community Involvement Category:

5

Case of minor significance or complexity with little adverse impact on individual interests

#### **Proposed Timeframe for Assessment**

'Early Bird Notification' due: 6 December 2011

The proposed timeline is written assuming the applicant does not wish to expedite the assessment.

#### General Procedure:

Commence Assessment (clock start) Mid-Jan 2012 Completion of Assessment early-April 2012

Public comment mid-April – late May 2012

Board to complete Approval Mid-Sept 2012
Notification to Ministerial Council Early Oct 2012
Anticipated gazettal if no review requested Late Dec 2012